Public Document Pack



Dorset Council

Date: Thursday, 16 May 2024

Time: 6.30 pm

Venue: Council Chamber, County Hall, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ

All members of Dorset Council are requested to attend this meeting of the Full Council.

Chief Executive: Matt Prosser, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

For more information about this agenda please contact Democratic Services Meeting Contact susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

Members of the public are welcome to attend this meeting, apart from any items listed in the exempt part of this agenda.

For easy access to all the council's committee agendas and minutes download the free public app called Modern.Gov for use on your iPad, Android, and Windows tablet. Once downloaded select Dorset Council.

Agenda

Page No

10. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - QUESTIONS

3 - 4

A period of 30 minutes is allocated to receive and respond to questions and statements on the business of the Council in the following order:

- (a) Questions and statements from Town and Parish Councils;
- (b) Questions and statements from those living or working in the Dorset Council area:

A person or organisation can submit either 1 question or 1 statement at each meeting.

You are welcome to attend the meeting in person or via MS Teams to read out your question and to receive the response. If you submit a statement for Full Council this will be circulated to all members of the council in advance of the meeting as a supplement to the agenda and appended to the minutes of the meeting for the formal record but it will not be read out at the meeting. The first 8 questions and the first 8 statements received by Democratic Services will be accepted on a first come first served basis in accordance with the deadline below:

The full text of the question or statement must be received by 8.30am on Monday 13 May 2024. All submissions must be emailed to

susan.dallison@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

When submitting your question please note that:

Sub-divided questions will not be accepted;

Each question can consist of up to 450 words, including a pre-amble to set the context of the question;

Please indication who the question is for, i.e., the name of the Portfolio Holder:

You will need to include your full name, address and contact details; All questions and statements will be published in full with the minutes of the meeting as a matter of public record.

Annual Meeting of Council

16th May 2024

Public Participation – Questions from Members of the Public

Question 1: - Submitted by Martine Sommers

How can the MAF consider itself fit for purpose when it supplies a one sided almost utopian view of the barge, without considering the balanced and grown-up concerns of the people of Portland whose views are not met or being ignored. Example being costs of coaches and safety of personnel - i.e. CCTV. We are not interested in almost puerile and childish reports of 'hello's' whilst litter picking, which is a case in point.

Question 2: - Submitted by Kate Robson

Portland Port's & the Home Offices covert, non-consultive, under the table business transition to moor the Bibby Stockholm at Portland Port has probably been the most divisive decision ever made within Weymouth & Portland.

It serves no purpose and has failed to hit any of its objectives. It is NOT more cost effective nor is it a deterrent.

So disgusted with the lack of consultation, imposition and escalating costs. I have submitted my own FOIs to the HO.

- End Date of initial contract.
- Numbers on board
- Cost to date.

I was provided with the following information on the 7th May.

The contract is due to end on the 8th Jan 25'.

As of the end of January were 321 migrants on board.

The running cost paid to only CTM on 3rd April came to an extraordinary 12,900,000. This does not include grants for ancillary / authority service, police, voluntary grant or costs of HO staff.

The CTM contractual value alone equates to over £40K per migrant. Further insight into despicable rising costs is noted in the NAO investigation published 30/3 which determines; at a maximum capacity of 430 over 18 months cost to tax payer is 34.8M. Broken down this is near on 4.5K per month per head. Enough to go on an all-inclusive month-long cruise or rent a flat in Chelsea, or 3 bed house each in Sandbanks.

Hence and with relevance, my questions are around the motion put forward last year on the at DC full meeting on 13th July 'that the barge must be removed at 'the earliest opportunity' as every commentator agrees. I also request that allocation of spend of grants received by Dorset Council from the HO be made public.

1. On the 30th April within the last MAF update, it is stated that: No decision has yet been made on the future use of the Bibby Stockholm. The Home Office will continue to engage and work collaboratively with Dorset Council, Portland Port and Dorset Police to look at options for lease extension after January 2025' Please provide insight into these engagements and collaborations. And explain that if no decision has been made on the future use of Bibby Stockholm - why is DC currently recruiting for two full time social workers signposted to work primarily with asylum seekers located on Portland for the

next 18 months? In addition, how can a possible extension be substantiated

Question 3 - submitted by Edward Lock

given the cost and failures?

In view of the considerable time and resources invested in the last Draft Local Plan by both the Council and the public, will the new Council consider resurrecting that Draft Plan, possibly omitting any of the controversial strategic allocations.

Question 4 - submitted by Pat Rider

What's DCC thoughts on this way of animal slaughter... I didn't realise that such thing is happening in the UK until recently... Is Dorset halal slaughter free? .. have the people of Dorset been asked about their thoughts on this? Or is this decision made for the people of Dorset without asking?

Question 5 – submitted by Mr & Mrs Caroll

Can members of the New Dorset Council reassure us, the Taxpaying residents of Dorset that, permission will never be granted here for a HALAL SLAUGHTER house within the UNITARY area.

It is believed that some exist, although breaking the Law, in the North of England. This barbaric NON-STUN Islamic method of throat slitting whilst the animal is fully conscious simply cannot and will not be tolerated here in the farming areas of Dorset.